| Key principle              | Strategic walking improvement recommendations                                 | Ludlow Town Council's Response  | Minute Number               |
|----------------------------|---|---|-----------------------------|
| Attractiveness and comfort | Improve the sense of welcome outside the railway station                      | To support this recommendation.   | R/22                        |
|                            |   |   | Resolved GG/ RJ (unanimous) |
| Attractiveness and comfort | 2. Increase width of footways along Corve Street by taking space from the     | To object to this recommendation because it would unacceptably reduce on-street                                   | R/23                        |
|                            | wide carriageway  | residential and visitor parking and impede access for larger vehicles including local buses, coaches and lorries. | Resolved GG/ VP (unanimous) |
| Directness                 | Improve connection between the railway station and the town centre to         | To support this recommendation.   | R/24                        |
|                            | make easier to navigate.  |   | Resolved GG/ VP (unanimous) |
| Directness                 | 2. Increase crossing points along Corve Street and within the town centre,    | To support this recommendation.   | R/25                        |
|                            | including dropped kerbs for disability access                                 |   | Resolved GG/ RJ (unanimous) |
| Safety                     | 1. Extend the 20mph area in the town centre to merge with the one to the      | To support this recommendation.   | R/26                        |
|                            | north, creating a town centre wide 20mph zone                                 |   | Resolved GG/ RP (unanimous) |
| Safety                     | 2. Provide continuous footways outside the railway station and crossings from | To support this recommendation.   | R/27                        |
|                            | the station towards the town centre   |   | Resolved GG/ VP (unanimous) |
| Safety                     | 3. Consideration of the closure of King Street to traffic.                    | To strongly object to this recommendation because King Street is a vital access route                             | R/28                        |
|                            |   | into the town centre and there are no other alternative routes for vehicles. The closure                          | Resolved GG/ RJ (7:1:0)     |

|        |  | would be to the serious detriment of the economy of the town.  | For: GG / BW /<br>SW / AT/ VP /<br>SON / RJ |
|--------|--|--|---|
|        |  | The market, shops and businesses would unnecessarily and unsustainably lose essential trade and customers because it would become inconvenient for people to access the town, and transport their purchases to the car – this would significantly impact families with young children, the elderly and the disabled. | Against: RP                                 |
|        |  | Coaches that frequently visit Ludlow, as part of their planned day trip, would not be able to drop off in the town centre for an hour to visit the market, and would stop including Ludlow in their tour itinerary.  |   |
|        |  | Ludlow is a small historic town. King Street and High Street are the arterial route into the town and closing it would destroy the economy of Ludlow.  |   |
| Safety | 4. Consideration of the closure of the High Street to traffic (except for market access) either by signalising Bell Lane or using Silk Mill Lane and Bell Lane as an in/out for resident access. | To strongly object to this recommendation because High Street (and King Street) is a vital arterial route into the town.  Signalising Bell Lane is impractical. Using Silk Mill Lane is impossible and dangerous - it  | R/29 Resolved GG/ RJ (7:0:1) For: GG / BW / |
|        |  | is far too narrow - and the turn into the Broadgate is a ninety degree angle without enough room for a car to swing right. This  | SW / AT/ VP /<br>SON / RJ<br>Abstention: RP |

|           |  | route would put the historic Broadgate in jeopardy.  |   |
|-----------|--|--|---|
| Safety    | 5. Convert the Ludlow Castle Street car park to an open community space and    | To strongly object to this recommendation because the car park is vital to all town centre   | R/30  |
|           | parking for market traders, reducing the traffic flow through the town centre. | businesses, attractions and their customers. Ludlow is a rural tourist town as well as home to its residents. Vehicular access and | Resolved GG/ RJ (7:0:1)                     |
|           |  | retention of the existing limited parking capacity is important to the future survival and prosperity of the town.                 | For: GG / BW /<br>SW / AT/ VP /<br>SON / RJ |
|           |  |  | Abstention: RP                              |
| Coherence | Better signage required to the walkway to the centre (south of Tesco to)       | To support this recommendation.  | R/31  |
|           | Corve Street and via Galdeford car park)                                       |  | Resolved GG/ VP (unanimous)                 |
| Coherence | 2. Improved signage and route-finding from the railway station towards the     | To support this recommendation.  | R/32  |
|           | town centre  |  | Resolved GG/ VP (unanimous)                 |

#### **Cycling Scheme details**

Please note that some of the following cycling schemes may also feature walking elements in order to ensure that the schemes cater for all users where possible.

| Scheme | Location   | Shropshire Council Recommendation   | Ludlow Town Council's Response   | Minute Number                     |
|--------|--|---|--|-----------------------------------|
| L.48   | Fishmore<br>Road   | Reduce speed limit to 20mph and restrict<br>on-road parking. Give cyclists priority at<br>side roads and provision for them at the<br>roundabout. Add crossing points when<br>footways change side                    | To support the 20 mph restriction, and where practicable, to support prioritisation and provision for cyclists.  The council does not support the restriction of onroad parking without separate consideration and a residents consultation. | R/33  Resolved GG/ RJ (unanimous) |
| L.47   | St Julians<br>Avenue and<br>Livesey Road   | Investigate making a 'low traffic neighbourhood' along St Julians Avenue/Livesey Road to stop through traffic   | To support investigation and further consultation. The council requests sight of the investigation report prior to any decisions being made.   | R/34  Resolved GG/ RP (unanimous) |
| L.34   | Connection<br>from<br>Ledwyche<br>Rise to the<br>industrial<br>estate,<br>includes | Create a direct route to extend the off road path across the industrial sites and A49 to the park and ride, including a designated route through the new development site, recommended this be designated a bridleway | To support this recommendation.  | R/35  Resolved GG/ RJ (unanimous) |

|      | crossing of A49   |   |  |                                   |
|------|---|---|--|-----------------------------------|
| L.32 | Route along<br>Bromfield<br>Road and<br>Corve Bridge  | Improve bridge provision for active travel, enhance footway into shared path                            | Whilst the council would like to support this recommendation, it feels the description is too vague, so it is not able to confirm support for the recommendation until it has received a more detailed recommendation. | R/36  Resolved GG/ SW (unanimous) |
| L.36 | Connecting Bromfield to Ludlow, upgrade of existing National Cycle Network Route 44 along existing public rights of way           | Signpost this route as an active travel and equestrian corridor and with slow speeds to increase safety | The town council supports the recommendation because it supports safety measures to protect pedestrians, cyclists and equestrian users.  | R/37  Resolved GG/ RP (unanimous) |
| L.35 | Route along<br>Parys Road<br>connecting<br>residential<br>areas to the<br>industrial<br>estate and<br>other local<br>destinations | Improve existing cycle lanes including bypasses of traffic calming measures                             | The town council supports the recommendation because it supports safety measures to protect pedestrians, and cyclists.   | R/38  Resolved GG/ RP (unanimous) |

| L.38 | Tower Street  | Close to traffic and provide space for cyclists  | To object to this recommendation because the existing measures are adequate and would be effective if enforced by the police.   | R/39  Resolved GG/ BW (unanimous)  |
|------|---|--|---|------------------------------------|
| L.37 | A49 to<br>Bromfield   | Improve quality, signage and security of existing off-road path parallel to A49                        | The town council supports the recommendation because it supports safety measures to protect pedestrians, cyclists and equestrian users.   | R/40  Resolved GG/ RJ (unanimous)  |
| L.39 | Crossing of<br>the A49<br>roundabout<br>by<br>Sainsburys                      | Improve crossing for cyclists and equestrians at the roundabout, including a button back from the road | The town council supports the recommendation because it supports safety measures to protect pedestrians, cyclists and equestrian users  | R/41  Resolved GG/ RP (unanimous)  |
| L.13 | Railway<br>crossing into<br>the station<br>entrance from<br>Quarry<br>Gardens | Improve to step free access between platforms (already being investigated)                             | To support this recommendation.   | R/42  Resolved GG/ SON (unanimous) |
| L.02 | Route<br>through the<br>main town<br>centre/High<br>Street areas              | Consider closure of historic centre to general traffic   | To strongly object to this recommendation because King Street is a vital access route into the town centre and there are no other alternative routes for vehicles. The closure would be to the serious detriment of the economy of the town.  The market, shops and businesses would unnecessarily and unsustainably lose essential trade and customers because it would become inconvenient for people to access the town, and transport their purchases to the car – this would | R/43  Resolved GG/ BW (unanimous)  |

|      |   |  | significantly impact families with young children, the elderly and the disabled.  Coaches that frequently visit Ludlow as part of a day trip would not be able to drop off in the town centre for an hour to visit the market, and would stop including Ludlow in their tour itinerary.  Ludlow is a small historic town. King Street and High Street are the arterial route into the town and closing it would destroy the economy of Ludlow. |                                  |
|------|---|--|--|----------------------------------|
| L.26 | Route along<br>the pathway<br>parallel to<br>Rock Lane<br>between<br>Sandpits<br>Road and the<br>railway line | Enhance existing pathway and improve signage along route   | To support this recommendation.  | R/44 Resolved GG/ RP (unanimous) |
| L.28 | Back route<br>from<br>Steventon to<br>Ludlow Eco<br>Park along<br>Foldgate<br>Lane                            | Consider making access only to make a cycle / equestrian route   | To object to this recommendation because it is an ancient and historic road way and full access should be retained.  | R/45 Resolved GG/ VP (unanimous) |
| L.27 | Connection<br>from<br>Steventon to<br>Ludlow  | Make Steventon Road a 'quiet lane' (route where special attention must be paid to walkers, cyclists and horse riders including protection from speeding traffic) and introduce waiting areas along road in | To object to this recommendation because it is unsuitable for this area.   | R/46 Resolved GG/ AT (unanimous) |

| L.33 | Connecting  | lieu of being able to provide a full cycle lane Improve and extend shared path, improve  | The town council supports the recommendation   | R/47                              |
|------|---|--|--|-----------------------------------|
|      | the town centre to Ludlow CofE School and beyond to A49 along Coronation Avenue   | and increase pedestrian crossings and introduce cycle lanes. Extend 20mph zone   | because it supports safety measures to protect pedestrians, cyclists and equestrian users.   | Resolved GG/<br>BW (unanimous)    |
| L.29 | Connection<br>through<br>southeastern<br>residential<br>area along<br>Steventon<br>New Road<br>linking the<br>zone to local<br>destinations<br>south of the<br>town | Create 'low traffic neighbourhood' along/around route to create safer space for cyclists and introduce 20mph speed limit           | To support this recommendation in principle. However, the town council requests sight of the investigation report before any decisions are made. | R/48 Resolved GG/ SON (unanimous) |
| L.30 | Connection<br>between<br>Culmington<br>and Ludlow<br>along B4365  | Create a cycle path along the road, widening to shared path to the south past the race club which would be open for equestrian use | The town council supports the recommendation because it supports safety measures to protect pedestrians, cyclists and equestrian users.          | R/49 Resolved GG/ RJ (unanimous)  |

| L.31 | Connection<br>between<br>Culmington<br>and Ludlow<br>along existing<br>Public Right<br>of Ways         | Upgrade existing public right of way, ensuring that no users lose their access rights   | The town council supports the recommendation because it protects access to public rights of way.  | R/50 Resolved GG/ RP (unanimous)  |
|------|--|---|---|-----------------------------------|
| L.20 | Connection<br>from Cleehill<br>to Ludlow   | Widen narrow footway into shared path, which would be accessible to active and equestrian users   | The town council supports the recommendation because it supports safety measures to protect pedestrians, cyclists and equestrian users. | R/51 Resolved GG/ BW (unanimous)  |
| L.18 | Connection<br>from<br>Caynham to<br>Ludlow   | Create a new pedestrian/cyclist bridge over the railway line. Introduce a 20mph speed zone along the residential roads and designate the rural sections as 'quiet lanes' (route where special attention must be paid to walkers, cyclists and horse riders including protection from speeding traffic). Provision of cycle/pedestrian crossing the A49 roundabout | To support this recommendation.   | R/52  Resolved GG/ VP (unanimous) |
| L.25 | River<br>crossing<br>along Dinham<br>Bridge,<br>connect<br>leisure route<br>along<br>National<br>Cycle | Formalise contraflow along bridge to allow for more space for active users and extend 20mph limit over the bridge   | To strongly object to the recommendation because it is unworkable and unnecessary.  | R/53 Resolved GG/BW (unanimous)   |

|      | Network<br>Route 44  |  |   |  |
|------|--|--|---|--|
| L.19 | Connection<br>from<br>Knowbury to<br>Caynham,<br>links in with<br>further<br>connection to<br>Ludlow | Investigate need for off-road shared path, which would be accessible to active and equestrian users  | To support the investigation and request a copy of the subsequent report.   | R/54 Resolved GG/ VP (unanimous)       |
| L.21 | Connection<br>from Bitterley<br>to Ludlow  | Investigate adding a cycle lane to rural road. Upgrade public right of way between Middleton and Bitterley, ensuring use for all users is retained (including equestrians)   | The town council supports the recommendation because it supports safety measures to protect pedestrians, cyclists and equestrian users. | R/55<br>Resolved GG/ VP<br>(unanimous) |
| L.22 | Connection<br>from Ashford<br>Carbonell to<br>Ludlow   | Make the B4361 as a 'quiet lane' (route where special attention must be paid to walkers, cyclists and horse riders including protection from speeding traffic), then a short section of off-road shared use path on the A49 with a pedestrian/cycle over-bridge, and on the un-named roads into the villages, create quiet lanes as there is insufficient space to broaden the footway without land purchase | The town council supports the recommendation because it supports safety measures to protect pedestrians, cyclists and equestrian users. | R/56<br>Resolved GG/<br>SW (unanimous) |
| L.23 | Route<br>through<br>Gallows Bank<br>along public<br>rights of way                                    | Enhance existing path and improve signage  | To support this recommendation.   | R/57 Resolved GG/ BW (unanimous)       |

|      | connecting to<br>Sheet Road   |  |   |                                   |
|------|---|--|---|-----------------------------------|
| L.24 | Connection<br>from Rocks<br>Green<br>development<br>site into<br>Ludlow<br>across A49,<br>heading<br>towards town<br>centre<br>following<br>existing<br>public rights<br>of way and<br>Ridings Road | Upgrade existing public right of way to segregated cycle route, ensuring that usage by equestrians and other users is retained | To support this recommendation.   | R/58 Resolved GG/ VP (unanimous)  |
| L.11 | Connection<br>through<br>Gallows Bank<br>along Dark<br>Lane<br>between<br>Rock Lane<br>and the<br>industrial and<br>eco parks   | Enhance existing off-road pathway and improve signage to it and awareness of it, allowing all users except motorised vehicles  | To support this recommendation.   | R/59  Resolved GG/ RP (unanimous) |
| L.10 | Route along<br>Sheet Road   | Introduce cycle lanes  | To object to the introduction without an investigation and feasibility report and consultation. | R/60                              |

|      | connecting The Sheet towards the town centre  |   |   | Resolved GG/ RP (unanimous)          |
|------|---|---|---|--------------------------------------|
| L.13 | Railway<br>crossing into<br>the station<br>entrance from<br>Quarry<br>Gardens   | Improve to step free access between platforms (already being investigated)                                | To support this recommendation.   | R/61 Resolved GG/ VP (unanimous)     |
| L.12 | Route along<br>A49 between<br>Rocks Green<br>and The<br>Sheet   | Investigate shared off-road path parallel to A49, accessible to all users (excluding motorised vehicles)  | To support the investigation and consultation; and request a copy of the subsequent report. | R/62 Resolved GG/ RP (unanimous)     |
| L.15 | Eastern<br>access to the<br>railway<br>station from<br>Gravel Hill  | Clean up pathway and improve signage. Trim back edges to marginally widen pathway                         | To support this recommendation.   | R/<br>Resolved GG/ AT<br>(unanimous) |
| L.14 | Connection<br>through<br>residential<br>area along<br>public rights<br>of way linking<br>towards the<br>train station | Upgrade public right of way to shared path, ensuring no user loses their right of access (eg equestrians) | To support this recommendation.   | R/63 Resolved GG/ SW (unanimous)     |

| L.17 | Connection through the  | Introduce double yellow lines on Weeping Cross Lane, as well as widening the   | To support the double yellow lines especially in the vicinity of the Fire Station.  | R/64                             |
|------|---|--|---|----------------------------------|
|      | centre employment area along Weeping Cross Lane   | southbound footway into a cycle-lane (via land purchase)   | Object to the widening of the path.   | Resolved GG/ VP (unanimous)      |
| L.16 | Route along Old Street and Corve Street connecting the north of the town to the town centre and railway station | Create a segregated cycle lane, with localised treatments at pinch-points. Introduce a point closure on the northern section of the route          | Unfortunately the description is too vague and it is not possible to comment on this recommendation until a survey / feasibility study and consultation have taken place. | R/65 Resolved GG/ SW (unanimous) |
| L.03 | Railway<br>crossing<br>along Corve<br>Street  | Consider cycle lanes and cycle provision at roundabout   | There is no railway crossing on Corve Street, Ludlow  | R/66 Resolved GG/ AT (unanimous) |
| L.01 | Main<br>thoroughfare<br>to the town<br>centre, along<br>Gravel Hill   | Repaint advanced stop boxes. Create cycle bypass of signals on Upper Galdeford. Make a 20mph zone  | To support this recommendation.   | R/67 Resolved GG/ RP (unanimous) |
| L.05 | Local route<br>through<br>residential<br>area along   | Create shared-use path from the Sandpits Road/Riddings Road junction up to the Sandpits Road/Wheeler Road junction and continuing on alongside the | To support the 20 mph speed limit.  | R/                               |

|      | Sandpits<br>Road<br>connecting to<br>the hospital   | park (land purchase from the town council required) and then a 20mph speed limit zone from Sandpits Road/Livesey Road/Whitbread Road junction up to where the cycle route connects with Henley Road | There are covenants on the use of the land that prohibit use other than for young people, so it is not possible for the town council to sell the land. | Resolved GG/<br>SON<br>(unanimous)     |
|------|---|---|--|--|
| L.04 | Henley Road<br>between the<br>A49 and<br>Weyman<br>Road                                       | Create segregated path and/or cycle lanes through residential area  | To object to this recommendation. It is unnecessary.   | R/68  Resolved GG/ VP (unanimous)      |
| L.07 | Railway<br>crossing<br>between<br>Sheet Road<br>and<br>Housman<br>Crescent                    | Improve safety perceptions with increased lighting, replace chicane barriers with something easier to navigate for non-standard bikes   | To support this recommendation.  | R/69 Resolved GG/ BW (unanimous)       |
| L.06 | Connection<br>along Lower<br>Galdeford<br>from Upper<br>Galdeford to<br>Steventon<br>New Road | Reseal road and review parking provision to deliver cycle lanes   | To support the recommendation to improve the road surface.  To object to changes in residents parking.   | R/70<br>Resolved GG/ VP<br>(unanimous) |
| L.09 | Route along Station Drive to connect into the train station from the town centre and          | Add cycle lanes and continuous footways (particularly outside railway station)  | To support this recommendation, subject to a site specific safe access survey.   | R/71  Resolved GG/ RP (unanimous)      |

|      | other trunk roads   |  |   |                                    |
|------|---|--|---|------------------------------------|
| L.08 | Ludford<br>Bridge river<br>crossing   | Introduce cycle advanced stop boxes at bridge                                    | To support this recommendation.   | R/72 Resolved GG/ VP (unanimous)   |
| L.41 | Henley Road<br>between<br>Weyman<br>Road and<br>Sandpits<br>Road                          | Create segregated path and/or cycle lanes through residential area               | To object to this recommendation because it is impractical, impossible and unnecessary.   | R/73 Resolved GG/ RP (unanimous)   |
| L.40 | A4117 Rocks Green from A49 roundabout to Henley   | Widen narrow footway into shared path, which would also be usable by equestrians | To support this recommendation to improve safety on an A road.  | R/74  Resolved GG/ SON (unanimous) |
| L.43 | Henley Road<br>between<br>Gravel Hill<br>roundabout<br>and Corve<br>Street                | Add double yellow lines and investigate cycle lane up hill                       | To object to this recommendation without an investigation and residents consultation. The town council requests sight of the report before any decisions are made.  | R/75  Resolved GG/ VP (unanimous)  |
| L.42 | Henley Road<br>approach to<br>and crossing<br>of the<br>roundabout<br>with Gravel<br>Hill | Investigate improving safety at roundabout with Gravel Hill                      | To support this recommendation. The town council requests sight of the report before any decisions are made.  In 2015, the town council made requests for improvements to safety, but none were made.  Further to this in 2022, the town council under took a | R/76  Resolved GG/ VP (unanimous)  |

traffic survey and made the following observations and recommendations. R/244, 06.04.22 GRAVEL HILL ROAD SAFETY AUDIT FROM SHROPSHIRE COUNCIL RESOLVED RP/PA (unanimous) Following a request from Ludlow Town Council, a Stage 3 Road Safety Audit was carried out on 4th May 2021 by Shropshire Council (SC). Ludlow Town Council had reservations about the validity of the audit results and carried out a further survey in March 2022. It magnifies the need to act on the original SC recommendations and more. Ludlow Town Council's concerns about the **Shropshire Council Audit:** 1. It failed to include 0800-0900 hours. 2. Pedestrians at busy crossing point 7 (Gravel Hill) were not considered 3. Sandpits Road was closed at the time of survey 4. It was carried out during a period of heavy COVID restrictions 5. Hours of darkness were not considered in line with **GG119** 6. In November 2021, a new Sainsbury Supermarket opened at Dun Cow Road, Rocks Green, Ludlow,

Shropshire. SY8 2EY. This is likely to lead to

increased traffic passing through the One Stop junction at Gravel Hill.

7. A Design response was required within one month of the audit, which has not been made Ludlow Town Council's survey.

Ludlow Town Council surveyed eight pedestrian crossing points over these roads during four weekdays. Observations were recorded in quarter-hourly intervals, between 0800 till 0900 and from 1500-1600. Observations from 1400 to 1640 were also made to directly compare with the results of the SC audit. A total of over 2400 observations of pedestrians crossing at these points were made.

The survey also considered the effects of the mini roundabout on pedestrian movement R 09.03.22 across Dodmore Lane, New Road and Sandpits Road, all of which interact within 50 m of the roundabout.

#### **Conclusions from survey**

These results are based on objective pedestrian data which indicate a far higher risk level for pedestrians than was indicated in the SC audit, especially at crossing points 1,3,7 and 8. These observations add to the urgency of acting on the SC recommendations 3.1 to 3.5 including the carrying out of a current re-design in response to the 2021 audit and the 2022 survey. Therefore, the minimum to be expected from the design response is:

|      |  |  | SC 3.1 and 3.2 The uncontrolled crossing point on New Road requires a reduction of parking bays.  SC 3.3 The risk of overshoot and collision at the minisland requires speed deflection measures and a raised island  SC 3.4 and 3.5 The poorly defined island and junction layout, especially from Henley Road require vehicle speed measurements to be taken to decide whether a humped zebra is required. Raised road deflection markings may also be necessary to ensure vehicles are aware of the roundabout ahead of them and to encourage them to enter the roundabout on the correct line. |  |
|------|--|--|--|--|
| L.46 | Linney between Dinham Bridge and Corve Street                                | Widen and extend path to go whole length of route, increase crossing points and investigate traffic calming and speed limit restrictions | To object to this recommendation because the town council does not believe that the road is wide enough to accommodate this recommendation.  | R/77 Resolved GG/ VP (unanimous)                                 |
| L.45 | Temeside<br>between<br>Weeping<br>Cross Lane<br>and<br>Steventon<br>New Road | Investigate making a 'low traffic neighbourhood' and upgrade footways  | To object to this recommendation because the road is a bus route.  | R/78  Resolved VP / BW (6:0:1)  For: GG / BW / SW / AT/ VP / SON |

|  |  | Abstention: RP |
|--|--|----------------|
|--|--|----------------|